



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

asla.		PC	T			
PATENT COOPERATION TREATY PCT/IP2003/ PCT PCT/IP2003/ PCT INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT (PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)						
		(PCT Article 36	and Rule 70)			
Applicant's or agent's fi FYM0301	1 1	FOR FURTHER ACTION		cation of Transmittal of Interna Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA		
International application No. PCT/JP2003/007302		nternational filing date (a 09 June 2003 (09	•	Priority date (day/month/year) 12 June 2002 (12.06.2002)		
International Patent Clas A61B 5/053, A		onal classification and IP	C			
Applicant		YA-MAN I	TD.			
amended 70.16 an	d and are the basis for the	his report and/or sheets co dministrative Instructions	ontaining rectification under the PCT).	on, claims and/or drawings which have ations made before this Authority (see		
3. This report con	tains indications relatin	g to the following items:				
3. This report con	tains indications relatin	g to the following items:				
- 12		g to the following items:				
I 🔀	Basis of the report Priority		velty, inventive s	tep and industrial applicability		
п 🖂	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inven	opinion with regard to no	-			
п 🖂	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inven	opinion with regard to no	-	tep and industrial applicability nventive step or industrial applicability;		
п 🖂	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inven	opinion with regard to no tion nder Article 35(2) with re lons supporting such state	-			
п 🖂	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inven Reasoned statement un citations and explanati Certain documents citations	opinion with regard to no tion nder Article 35(2) with re lons supporting such state	-			
I	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inven Reasoned statement un citations and explanati Certain documents citated Certain defects in the in-	opinion with regard to no tion nder Article 35(2) with re ions supporting such state	gard to novelty, in			
I	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inven Reasoned statement un citations and explanati Certain documents citated Certain defects in the in-	opinion with regard to notion nder Article 35(2) with resons supporting such state ed international application on the international applic	gard to novelty, in ment	nventive step or industrial applicability;		
I	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inven Reasoned statement uncitations and explanati Certain documents cita Certain defects in the incertain observations of	opinion with regard to notion nder Article 35(2) with resons supporting such state ed international application on the international applic	gard to novelty, in ment ation	nventive step or industrial applicability		
I	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inven Reasoned statement uncitations and explanati Certain documents cita Certain defects in the incertain observations of	opinion with regard to notion ander Article 35(2) with resons supporting such state ed international application on the international applic	gard to novelty, in ment ation	nventive step or industrial applicability;		

International application No.

PCT/JP2003/007302

INTERNATIONAL	PRELIMINARY	EXAMINATION	REPORT
---------------	-------------	-------------	--------

L Basi	s of the report		
1. Wit	h regard to the elements of t	he international application:*	
	the international applicati	on as originally filed	
$\overline{\nabla}$	the description:		
-	pages	1-26	, as originally filed
			, filed with the demand
	pages	, filed with th	e letter of
∇	the claims:		
الحا		2,3	, as originally filed
	pages		ded (together with any statement under Article 19
	pages		, filed with the demand
	pages	1,5 , filed with th	ne letter of08 March 2004 (08.03.2004)
∇	the drawings:	•	
		1/15-15/15	, as originally filed
			, filed with the demand
		, filed with th	
<u> </u>	the sequence listing part of		as originally filed
			, as originally filed
	pages	, filed with the	, filed with the demand
the Th	international application wases elements were available the language of a transla the language of publication the language of the translation or 55.3). ith regard to any nucleotic liminary examination was contained in the internation	s filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. or furnished to this Authority in the following lang tion furnished for the purposes of international sea on of the international application (under Rule 48. slation furnished for the purposes of international	guage which is: arch (under Rule 23.1(b)). all preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/ the international application, the international
	furnished subsequently t The statement that the international application	as filed has been furnished.	ing does not go beyond the disclosure in the
4. 🔀	the description, p the claims, Nos. the drawings, she	esulted in the cancellation of: ages4 ets/fig	
5.	beyond the disclosure as	filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule	
in an	this report as "originally d d 70.17).	filed" and are not annexed to this report sinc	se to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to se they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16
** An	v replacement sheet contains	ng such amendments must be referred to under ite	em 1 ana annexea 10 inis report.

International application No.

PCT/JP03/07302

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

orations and organization of			78
Statement Novelty (N) Claim	ıs	1, 2, 3, 5	s
Claim	ns	NO)
Inventive step (IS) Claim	as	1, 2, 3, 5 YE	s
Claim	ns	NO.) · ".
Industrial applicability (IA) Claim	ns	1, 2, 3, 5 YE	.s∵
Clairr	ns	NC)

2. Citations and explanations

Document 1: JP 2000-107148 A (Sekisui Chemical Co., Ltd.), April 18, 2000, Abstract; Par. No.

[0085]; Fig. 1

Document 2: JP 11-128195 A (Omron Corp.), May 18, 1999, Par. Nos. [0053], [0083]; Fig. 3, 8

Document 3: JP 11-318845 A (YAMAN Ltd.), November 24, 1999, Abstract; Par. No. [0018]

Document 4: JP 2000-41966 A (Tanita Corp.), February 15, 2000, Par. No. [0017]

Document 5: JP 2002-112976 A (Tanita Corp.), April 16, 2002, Par. No. [0036]

Document 6: JP 2726197 B2 (YAMAN Ltd.), December 5, 1997, Claims; Fig. 9

Document 7: JP 2000-51370 A (YAMAN Ltd.), February 22, 2000, Par. Nos. [0020], [0023]; Fig. 5

Claims 1, 2, 3 and 5

None of documents 1-7 describes judgment means for judging a grouped type based on correlation between quantities of bone, water and/or muscle and a body weight, and type display means for displaying type as judged by judgment means; nor are these points obvious to a person skilled in the art.